

AI Writing Detection Report

Document: Sample report — test document

Review the overall assessment, supporting signals, and sentence-level evidence.

Generated 10/7/2026, 7:52:32 AM

232 words

13 analysed sentences

Scan cost: 232 tokens

Detector v4.0

Executive summary


OVERALL RESULT

AI-leaning evidence

We are moderately confident this text is largely AI-generated, possibly with human-written or edited passages. See the highlighted sentences.

Of 13 sentences: 0% read human · 92% mixed · 8% strongly AI-like





8%

AI-LIKE SENTENCES

Share of sentences with strong AI-like signals.
The overall verdict weighs every signal level, not this number alone.



Even fully human writing usually contains some mixed sentences; the strongest warning sign is several AI-like sentences in a row.

ANALYSED WORDS
232

ANALYSED SENTENCES
13

PARAGRAPHS
1

GENERATED
10/7/2026

Detection evidence

Key findings

- Dominant classification:** Mixed — 92% of analysed sentences (12).
- Strongest signal:** Rhythm (medium evidence) — reviews whether pacing feels uniform or dense.
- Sentence counts:** 1 strongly flagged · 12 mixed · 0 lower-confidence.
- Suggested manual review:** Sentence 9 · Sentence 11 · Sentence 12

We are moderately confident this text is largely AI-generated, possibly with human-written or edited passages. Review the highlighted sentences before making a judgement.

Insight guidance is generated from current sentence evidence and should be reviewed in context.

Top flagged passages

The sentences that contributed most strongly to the AI-like assessment. Each also appears highlighted in the document below.

1. "Despite these limitations, the overall assessment is positive."

AI-like: 59 · Transition padding · Essay template scaffolding · Generic summary frame

Signal summary

Pattern categories that most influenced this result.

Structure

Low Signal

Checks for predictable or repeated sentence patterns.

Rhythm

Medium Signal

Reviews whether pacing feels uniform or dense.

Wording

Low Signal

Looks for broad, polished, or generic wording.

Repetition

Low Signal

Flags similar openings or repeated shapes.

Formulaic phrasing

Medium Signal

Checks for template-like phrasing patterns.

Writing characteristics

This describes the style of the writing and is not evidence of who or what produced it.

Writing statistics

Document metrics derived from the analyzed text.

232

WORDS

13

SENTENCES

1

PARAGRAPHS

17.8

AVG WORDS / SENTENCE

1 min

READING TIME

74%


VOCABULARY DIVERSITY

Writing style

How formal or conversational the writing is (not a verdict on who wrote it)

LEANS FORMAL/STRUCTURED

Score reliability: **High**



**FORMAL/STRUCTURED
SCORE**

Formal/structured writing patterns

60%

Natural/conversational writing patterns

40%

These are two ends of one scale, so together they always total 100%. The gauge shows the same formal/structured score.

Formal/structured means polished, organized writing (common in academic and business documents as well as AI output). **Natural/conversational** means varied, spontaneous writing typical of everyday human text. This is a style measure only — the highlighted document and probability distribution are your primary signals.

Highlighted document

Highlighted document (13 analysed sentences)

Your full text, highlighted sentence by sentence. Click a sentence to see why it was flagged.

■ Likely AI-generated ■ Mixed / uncertain ■ Likely human-written

This report summarizes the findings of our six-week pilot of the new ticketing system in the customer support department. The pilot demonstrated measurable improvements across key performance indicators. Average first-response time decreased from 4.2 hours to 1.8 hours, while customer satisfaction scores improved by twelve percentage points. The automated routing functionality effectively distributed workload across team members, reducing the bottlenecks associated with manual assignment. Now for the parts the dashboard doesn't show. The agents hate the new macro editor. Three of them told me separately that rebuilding their saved replies took the better part of a week, and Karin still keeps her old replies in a text file because she doesn't trust the new system after it ate her drafts twice. The mobile app, which we were assured was "feature complete," cannot attach screenshots, which matters because roughly

a third of our tickets involve one. Despite these limitations, the overall assessment is positive. The efficiency gains substantially outweigh the transition costs, and vendor support has been responsive in addressing reported issues. Two of the three critical bugs identified during the pilot have already been resolved in subsequent releases. My recommendation: proceed with the full rollout in September, but budget two full days per agent for migration instead of the half day the vendor suggests, and hold 20 percent of the final payment until the screenshot issue ships. They respond well to incentives.

Methodology and limitations

ScribeLens evaluates writing at the sentence and document level, looking at patterns in structure, predictability, and consistency that tend to differ between human and AI writing. Multiple independent signals are combined into a single assessment, and the sentence classifications come from the same evidence you see highlighted above.

- **Strong AI-like signal** — The sentence shows repeated patterns strongly associated with AI generation: formulaic structure, mechanical rhythm, or polished generic wording.
- **Mixed / uncertain signal** — The sentence has some AI-like indicators but also human elements. Review it in the context of surrounding sentences before drawing conclusions.
- **Human-like signal** — The sentence shows few or no AI-like patterns and reads like human writing.
- **Not analysed** — headings, labels, and references are displayed but never scored.

Very long documents are analysed up to a per-scan word limit; the report states the exact figure when this applies. Scores show how closely the writing matches patterns common in AI-generated text. Formal human writing, such as academic papers, reports and legal documents, can naturally share some of these patterns.

Detector v4.0 · Report generated 10/7/2026, 7:52:32 AM

How to read this report

OVERALL VERDICT CATEGORIES

Verdict	What it means
Likely human-written	Whole-text and sentence patterns align strongly with human writing. Few or no AI-like signals detected. When a few sentences stand out, the header reads "Mostly human-written, with some AI-like signals".
Mixed signals, leaning human	The text leans human: most sentences read clearly human, but a larger-than-usual share sit in the amber in-between zone. That in-between share is what keeps the verdict cautious rather than fully human. Review the amber-highlighted sentences — several in a row matter more than scattered ones.

Verdict	What it means
Mixed evidence — AI-leaning / human-leaning	The evidence is genuinely uncertain: sentence-level signals are uneven and the detection layers do not agree. The header names which side the document score leans to. Manual review is advised before drawing conclusions.
Mostly human — possible AI assistance	Most sentences read clearly human, but document-level patterns across several detection layers suggest some AI involvement — such as AI-assisted drafting, editing, or polishing of parts. Review the highlighted sentences.
Blend of human and AI writing / Substantial AI involvement	We are moderately confident the text blends human and AI writing — typically an AI draft edited by a person, or human writing reworked by an AI tool. The first header appears when a substantial share of sentences read clearly human; the second when the human share is small. The statement spells out the share of human, in-between, and strongly AI-like sentences.
AI-leaning evidence	We are moderately confident the text is largely AI-generated, possibly with human-written or edited passages. Whole-text patterns lean clearly toward AI writing, though individual sentences may read as plain or neutral.
Strong AI-like signal	We are highly confident the text is AI-generated: the detection layers agree at near-certain strength, or most sentences carry strong AI-like signals with formulaic or mechanical characteristics.

SENTENCE SIGNAL TYPES

- **Strong AI-like signal** — The sentence shows repeated patterns strongly associated with AI generation: formulaic structure, mechanical rhythm, or polished generic wording.
- **Mixed / uncertain signal** — The sentence has some AI-like indicators but also human elements. Review it in the context of surrounding sentences before drawing conclusions.
- **Human-like signal** — The sentence shows few or no AI-like patterns and reads like human writing.

How to use this result: ScribeLens compares the writing with patterns found in AI-generated text and shows the evidence sentence by sentence, with the reason for each flag. A strong result is solid evidence that deserves a closer look. Confirm it with what you know about the writer, such as drafts, revision history or a conversation, before you make a decision.